Properties
of
Context-Free Languages

An easy way to prove a bunch of properties of Context-Free languages is through the idea of a *substitution*. Let Σ be a finite alphabet and suppose that for each letter a in Σ we have a language S(a). If $w=a_1...a_n$ is a string in Σ^* we can say that S(w) is the concatenation $S(a_1)...S(a_n)$. If L is a language over Σ we say that $S(L) = \bigcup_{w \in L} S(w)$

For example, if we let $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$ and $S(0)=\{a^n b^n \mid n>= 1\}$ and $S(1) = \{an \mid n>=1\}$ then $S(001) = \{a^n b^n a^m b^m a^k \mid n,m,k >= 1\}$

Theorem: If L is a context-free language over Σ and S(a) is context-free for each a in Σ, then S(L) is contxt-free.
Proof: Start with the grammars for each S(a) and rewrite them so they have no nonterminal symbols in common. Take a Chomsky Normal Form grammar for L and rewrite it so it has no nonterminal symbols in common with any of the S(a) grammars. Each grammar rule for L has either the form A => BC or A => a. Replace each A => a rule by A => Start(a), where Start(a) is the start symbol for the S(a) grammar. This gives a context free grammar for S(L). (Two simple inductions show that this grammar derives w if and only if w is in S(L).

Theorem: If languages L_1 and L_2 are context-free then so are $L_1 \cup L_2$, L_1L_2 and $(L_1)^*$.

Proof: Let Σ be {0,1}, let $S(0)=L_1$ and let $S(1)=L_2$. Then

a) {0,1} is context-free, and $S(\{0,1\}) = L_1 \cup L_2$.

b) {01}) is context-free, and $S(\{01\}) = L_1L_2$

c) 0* is context-free and $S(0^*) = (L_1)^*$.

However, note that context-free languages are not closed under intersection.

Example: Let $L_1=\{0^n1^n2^j \mid n,j >= 0\}$ and let $L_2 =\{0^k1^m2^m \mid k,m >= 0\}$
These are both context-free languages but $L_1 \cap L_2= \{0^n1^n2^n \mid n>= 0\}$ and this is not context-free.

Note that this tells us that complements and differences of context-free languages are not necessarily context-free, for if they were intersections would also be context-free.

Theorem: If L is context-free and R is regular, then L∩R is context-free.
Proof: Start with a PDA that accepts L by final state and a DFA that accepts R. Make a new PDA whose states are pairs of states from L and R. If L has transition $\delta(q,a,X)=(q',y)$ and R has transition $\delta(r,a)=r'$ then make transition for the new PDA $\delta((q,r),a,X)=((q',r'),Y)$. The final states of the new PDA are $\{(q,r) \mid q$ is final for L and r is final for R$\}$
This new PDA accepts string w if and only if w is accepted by both L and R.

Why can't we do this with 2 PDAs?

Theorem: If L is context-free and R is regular then L-R is context-free.
Proof: $L\text{-}R = L \cap R^c$ and R^c is regular.

Theorem: If L is context-free then L^{rev} is also context-free.
Proof: Start with a Chomsky Normal Form grammar for L. Replace any rule A => BC with the rule A => CB. An induction on the length of derivations shows that this is a grammar for L^{rev}.

See example next slide

For example, a grammar for $\{a^n b^m | n>0, m >= 0\}$ is

A => AB | AA | a

B => BB | b

The grammar

A => BA | AA | a

B =>. BB | b

creates the language $\{b^m a^a | n>0, m >= 0\}$

Decision Algorithms for Context-Free Languages:

We can determine if a given string w is in a given context-free language: either convert the grammar to CNF and generate all possible parse trees of height log(|w|) or generate all possible configurations starting from w.

We can determine if a context-free language is empty or infinite; these are homework qestions.

Most other questions regarding context-free languages are undecidable, including:

- Are two context-free languages the same?
- Is the intersection of two context-free languages empty?
- Is a context-free language Σ^*?
- Is a given grammar ambiguous?
- Is a given language inherently ambiguous?